CF1082. SINGLE BENCH (D) (4)

In the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature of Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P.NO. 7569 2006

S. 8m

Petitioner — Poshanlal Sahu, aged 45 years,
Son of Shri Garib Das Sahu,
Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School,
Aachhoti, R/o village Chingri,
Tahsil & District Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 23861/06
Presented by Shri S.K. KHARE
Dated 11/12/06

VERSUS

Respondents -1. The State Government of Chhattisgarh,
Through – The Secretary,
Tribal Welfare Department Ministry,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. District Education Officer, Durg .

3. Block Education Officer, Durg
District Durg (C.G.)

RE... COPY
13/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Writ Petition Under Articles 226/227 of The Constitution Of India for the issuance of WRIT in the nature of MANDAMUS certiorari, Prohibition and for other suitable writ or writs, order or orders, direction or directions.

(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7560 OF 2006

Petitioner : Ku. Alakananda Dutta

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7569 OF 2006

Petitioner : Poshanlal Sahu

versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7594 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Meera Tiwari

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7602 OF 2006

Petitioner : TukaRam Sahu

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7603 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Rajkumari Dhruva

versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7611 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Saroj Kaparde

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another



2

30

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7614 OF 2006

Petitioner	:	Smt. Shadhana Agrawal
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7615 OF 2006

Petitioner	:	JanuRam Thakur
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7616 OF 2006

Petitioner	:	Kishor Singh Thakur
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7618 OF 2006

Petitioner	:	Smt. Sangeeta Chowdhary
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7620 OF 2006

Petitioner	:	Smt. Sharla Sharma (Diwan)
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

---

Present : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

---

(31)

ORDER

(Passed on 10th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* vs. *Municipal Corporation, Durg and another*[1].

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Bablu